



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE

Hoare Logic and Model Checking – additional slide

Alan Mycroft

May 21, 2018

Generating counterexamples (explicit states)

Can use 'failure to prove' to generate counter-example traces.

Here 'trace' is a synonym for 'path'

Easy, but potentially slow using explicit state representation:

- ▶ Suppose not all reachable states of model M satisfy p
- ▶ i.e. $\exists s \in \text{Reachable } M. \neg p(s)$
- ▶ Set of reachable states \mathcal{S} given by: $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$
- ▶ Iterate to find least n such that $\exists s \in \mathcal{S}_n. \neg p(s)$
(helpful to report the the shortest error trace)
- ▶ Pick a state s_n such that $s_n \in \mathcal{S}_n \wedge \neg p(s_n)$
- ▶ Find a path $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0 \dots s_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$ to it

Finding a path in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_0, R, L)$ is linear time if we store breadcrumbs (back-pointers from each reachable state s_{i+1} to the state s_i which first caused it to be visited); s_0 is necessarily part of $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0$