

6.4: Single-Source Shortest Paths



Thomas Sauerwald



Lent 2016



Introduction

Bellman-Ford Algorithm



Shortest Path Problem

• Given: directed graph G = (V, E) with edge weights, pair of vertices $s, t \in V$





- Shortest Path Problem
- Given: directed graph G = (V, E) with edge weights, pair of vertices $s, t \in V$
- Goal: Find a path of minimum weight from *s* to *t* in *G*













- Shortest Path Problem
 Given: directed graph
 G = (V, E) with edge weights, pair of vertices s, t ∈ V
- Goal: Find a path of minimum weight from s to t in G



What if G is **unweighted**?







Shortest Path Problem

- Given: directed graph G = (V, E) with edge weights, pair of vertices $s, t \in V$
- Goal: Find a path of minimum weight from s to t in G



Applications

Car Navigation, Internet Routing, Arbitrage in Concurrency Exchange



Single-source shortest-paths problem (SSSP)

- Bellman-Ford Algorithm
- Dijsktra Algorithm





Single-source shortest-paths problem (SSSP)

- Bellman-Ford Algorithm
- Dijsktra Algorithm

All-pairs shortest-paths problem (APSP)

- Shortest Paths via Matrix Multiplication
- Johnson's Algorithm










































































































Introduction

Bellman-Ford Algorithm



Definition

Fix the source vertex $s \in V$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- *v.d* is the length of the shortest path discovered so far



Definition

Fix the source vertex $s \in V$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far

• At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$



Definition

Fix the source vertex $s \in V$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- *v.d* is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$



Definition

Fix the source vertex $s \in V$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$

Relaxing an edge (u, v)

Given estimates u.d and v.d, can we find a better path from v using the edge (u, v)?



Definition

Fix the source vertex
$$s \in V$$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$

Relaxing an edge (u, v)

Given estimates u.d and v.d, can we find a better path from v using the edge (u, v)?

$$v.d \stackrel{?}{>} u.d + w(u,v)$$



Definition

Fix the source vertex
$$s \in V$$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$

Relaxing an edge (u, v)

Given estimates u.d and v.d, can we find a better path from v using the edge (u, v)?

$$v.d \stackrel{?}{>} u.d + w(u,v)$$





7

Definition

Fix the source vertex
$$s \in V$$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$

Relaxing an edge (u, v)

Given estimates u.d and v.d, can we find a better path from v using the edge (u, v)?

$$v.d \stackrel{?}{>} u.d + w(u,v)$$





7

Definition

Fix the source vertex
$$s \in V$$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$

- Relaxing an edge (u, v)

Given estimates u.d and v.d, can we find a better path from v using the edge (u, v)?

$$v.d \stackrel{?}{>} u.d + w(u,v)$$





Definition

Fix the source vertex
$$s \in V$$

- $v.\delta$ is the length of the shortest path (distance) from s to v
- v.d is the length of the shortest path discovered so far
- At the beginning: $s.d = s.\delta = 0$, $v.d = \infty$ for $v \neq s$
- At the end: $v.d = v.\delta$ for all $v \in V$





$$\overset{s}{\bigcirc} \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \overset{u}{\checkmark} \overset{v}{\checkmark} \overset{v}{\overset} \overset{v}$$



v.d





$$v.d \leq u.d + w(u, v)$$







$$v.d \leq u.d + w(u, v)$$

= $u.\delta + w(u, v)$





• For any edge $(u, v) \in E$, we have $v \cdot \delta \leq u \cdot \delta + w(u, v)$

Upper-bound Property (Lemma 24.11)

We always have v.d ≥ v.δ for all v ∈ V, and once v.d achieves the value v.δ, it never changes.

Convergence Property (Lemma 24.14)

• If $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$ is a shortest path from *s* to *v*, and if $u.d = u.\delta$ prior to relaxing edge (u, v), then $v.d = v.\delta$ at all times afterward.



$$v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$$
$$= u.\delta + w(u, v)$$
$$= v.\delta$$





• If $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$ is a shortest path from *s* to *v*, and if $u.d = u.\delta$ prior to relaxing edge (u, v), then $v.d = v.\delta$ at all times afterward.



$$v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$$

= $u.\delta + w(u, v)$
= $v.\delta$

Since $v.d \ge v.\delta$, we have $v.d = v.\delta$.



Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15) -

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).



Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15) -

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).

Proof:

By induction on *i*, 0 ≤ *i* ≤ *k*:
 After the *i*th edge of *p* is relaxed, we have v_i.d = v_i.δ.



Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15)

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).

- By induction on *i*, $0 \le i \le k$: After the *i*th edge of *p* is relaxed, we have $v_i d = v_i \delta$.
- For i = 0, by the initialization $s.d = s.\delta = 0$. Upper-bound Property \Rightarrow the value of *s.d* never changes after that.



Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15) -

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).

- By induction on *i*, $0 \le i \le k$: After the *i*th edge of *p* is relaxed, we have $v_i d = v_i \delta$.
- For i = 0, by the initialization $s.d = s.\delta = 0$. Upper-bound Property \Rightarrow the value of *s.d* never changes after that.
- Inductive Step $(i 1 \rightarrow i)$: Assume $v_{i-1} d = v_{i-1} \delta$ and relax (v_{i-1}, v_i) .



Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15)

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).

- By induction on *i*, $0 \le i \le k$: After the *i*th edge of *p* is relaxed, we have $v_i d = v_i d \cdot \delta$.
- For i = 0, by the initialization $s.d = s.\delta = 0$. Upper-bound Property \Rightarrow the value of *s.d* never changes after that.
- Inductive Step $(i 1 \rightarrow i)$: Assume $v_{i-1}.d = v_{i-1}.\delta$ and relax (v_{i-1}, v_i) . Convergence Property $\Rightarrow v_i.d = v_i.\delta$ (now and at all later steps)



"Propagation": By relaxing proper edges, set of vertices with $v.\delta = v.d$ gets larger

- Path-Relaxation Property (Lemma 24.15) -

If $p = (v_0, v_1, ..., v_k)$ is a shortest path from $s = v_0$ to v_k , and we relax the edges of p in the order $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, then $v_k.d = v_k.\delta$ (regardless of the order of other relaxation steps).

- By induction on *i*, $0 \le i \le k$: After the *i*th edge of *p* is relaxed, we have $v_i d = v_i d \cdot \delta$.
- For i = 0, by the initialization $s.d = s.\delta = 0$. Upper-bound Property \Rightarrow the value of *s.d* never changes after that.
- Inductive Step $(i 1 \rightarrow i)$: Assume $v_{i-1}.d = v_{i-1}.\delta$ and relax (v_{i-1}, v_i) . Convergence Property $\Rightarrow v_i.d = v_i.\delta$ (now and at all later steps)



```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u,d + w(u,v) < v,d
9:
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
10:
           e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
           e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:</pre>
15:
       return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```



```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2:
     v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
9:
        if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
10:
           e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
           e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
15: return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```



```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2:
     v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
9:
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
10:
           e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
           e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14:
      if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
15:
         return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```

Time Complexity -

A single call of line 9-11 costs O(1)



```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2:
     v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
9:
            e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
10:
11:
            e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14:
      if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
15:
         return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```

Time Complexity -

- A single call of line 9-11 costs O(1)
- In each pass every edge is relaxed $\Rightarrow O(E)$ time per pass



```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2:
     v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
        if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
9:
10:
           e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
           e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
15:
     return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```

Time Complexity -

- A single call of line 9-11 costs O(1)
- In each pass every edge is relaxed $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E)$ time per pass
- Overall (V 1) + 1 = V passes $\Rightarrow O(V \cdot E)$ time



Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1




Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 1





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 2





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3




Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 3





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4





Pass: 4




Execution of Bellman-Ford (Figure 24.4)

Pass: 4

Relaxation Order: (t,x),(t,y),(t,z),(x,t),(y,x),(y,z),(z,x),(z,s),(s,t),(s,y)





Lemma 24.2/Theorem 24.3 —

Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.



Lemma 24.2/Theorem 24.3 -

Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

Let v be a vertex reachable from s



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

Proof that Bellman-Ford returns TRUE

• Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- *p* is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{v}.\delta$$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- *p* is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{v}.\delta \leq \mathbf{u}.\delta + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- *p* is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{v}.\delta \leq \mathbf{u}.\delta + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}.\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- *p* is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{v}.\delta \leq \mathbf{u}.\delta + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}.\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$$



Assume that *G* contains no negative-weight cycles that are reachable from *s*. Then after |V| - 1 passes, we have $v.d = v.\delta$ for all vertices $v \in V$ (that are reachable) and Bellman-Ford returns TRUE.

Proof that $v.d = v.\delta$

- Let v be a vertex reachable from s
- Let $p = (v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = v)$ be a shortest path from s to v
- p is simple, hence $k \leq |V| 1$
- Path-Relaxation Property \Rightarrow after |V| 1 passes, $v.d = v.\delta$

Proof that Bellman-Ford returns TRUE

- Need to prove: $v.d \le u.d + w(u, v)$ for all edges
- Let $(u, v) \in E$ be any edge. After |V| 1 passes:

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{v}.\delta \leq \mathbf{u}.\delta + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}.\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$$

Triangle inequality (holds even if w(u, v) < 0!)



- Theorem 24.3 -----

If *G* contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from *s*, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.



Theorem 24.3

If G contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from s, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

• Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s



- Theorem 24.3 -

If G contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from s, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

- Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s
- If Bellman-Ford returns TRUE, then for every $1 \le i < k$,

 $v_i.d \leq v_{i-1}.d + w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$



- Theorem 24.3 -

If G contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from s, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

- Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s
- If Bellman-Ford returns TRUE, then for every $1 \le i < k$,

$$v_{i}.d \leq v_{i-1}.d + w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}.d \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i-1}.d + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$



- Theorem 24.3 -

If G contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from s, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

- Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s
- If Bellman-Ford returns TRUE, then for every $1 \le i < k$,

$$v_{i.d} \leq v_{i-1.d} + w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i.d} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i-1.d} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$



Theorem 24.3

If *G* contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from *s*, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

- Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s
- If Bellman-Ford returns TRUE, then for every $1 \le i < k$,

$$v_{i}.d \leq v_{i-1}.d + w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}.d \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i-1}.d + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$
This cancellation is only valid if all .d-values are finite!



- Theorem 24.3 -

If G contains a negative-weight cycle reachable from s, then Bellman-Ford returns FALSE.

Proof:

- Let $c = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v_0)$ be a negative-weight cycle reachable from s
- If Bellman-Ford returns TRUE, then for every $1 \le i < k$,

$$v_{i}.d \leq v_{i-1}.d + w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}.d \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i-1}.d + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_{i})$$
This cancellation is only valid if all .d-values are finite!

This contradicts the assumption that c is a negative-weight cycle!



The Bellman-Ford Algorithm

```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7: for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
9:
10:
            e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
            e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:</pre>
15:
         return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```



The Bellman-Ford Algorithm

```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8: Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
9:
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
10:
            e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
            e.end.predecessor = e.start
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:</pre>
15:
          return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```

Can we terminate earlier if there is a pass that keeps all .d variables?



The Bellman-Ford Algorithm

```
BELLMAN-FORD (G, w, s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V|-1 times
7:
     for e in G.edges()
8:
     Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u,d + w(u,v) < v,d
9:
         if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
10:
            e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
            e.end.predecessor = e.start
11:
12:
13: for e in G.edges()
14: if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:</pre>
15:
          return FALSE
16: return TRUE
```

Can we terminate earlier if there is a pass that keeps all .d variables?

Yes, because if pass *i* keeps all .*d* variables, then so does pass i + 1.



The Bellman-Ford Algorithm (modified)

```
BELLMAN-FORD-NEW(G,w,s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V| times
7:
     flag = 0
8:
     for e in G.edges()
9:
     Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
10:
          if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
             e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
12:
             e.end.predecessor = e.start
13:
             fla\sigma = 1
14:
    if flag = 0 return TRUE
15:
16: return FALSE
```

Can we terminate earlier if there is a pass that keeps all .d variables?

Yes, because if pass *i* keeps all .*d* variables, then so does pass i + 1.



The Bellman-Ford Algorithm (modified)

```
BELLMAN-FORD-NEW(G,w,s)
0: assert(s in G.vertices())
1: for v in G.vertices()
2: v.predecessor = None
3: v.d = Infinity
4: s.d = 0
5:
6: repeat |V| times
7:
     flag = 0
8:
     for e in G.edges()
9:
     Relax edge e=(u,v): Check if u.d + w(u,v) < v.d
10:
          if e.start.d + e.weight.d < e.end.d:
             e.end.d = e.start.d + e.weight
11:
12:
             e.end.predecessor = e.start
13:
             fla\sigma = 1
14:
   if flag = 0 return TRUE
15:
16: return FALSE
```

Can we terminate earlier if there is a pass that keeps all .*d* variables? Yes, because if pass *i* keeps all .*d* variables, then so does pass i + 1.

