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## Factors

## Consider the language Factor

$$
\{(x, k) \mid x \text { has a factor } y \text { with } 1<y<k\}
$$

Factor $\in N P \cap$ co-NP

Certificate of membership-a factor of $x$ less than $k$.

Certificate of disqualification-the prime factorisation of $x$.

## Optimisation

The Travelling Salesman Problem was originally conceived of as an optimisation problem
to find a minimum cost tour.

We forced it into the mould of a decision problem - TSP - in order to fit it into our theory of NP-completeness.

Similar arguments can be made about the problems CLIQUE and IND.

This is still reasonable, as we are establishing the difficulty of the problems.

A polynomial time solution to the optimisation version would give a polynomial time solution to the decision problem.

Also, a polynomial time solution to the decision problem would allow a polynomial time algorithm for finding the optimal value, using binary search, if necessary.

## Function Problems

Still, there is something interesting to be said for function problems arising from NP problems.

Suppose

$$
L=\{x \mid \exists y R(x, y)\}
$$

where $R$ is a polynomially-balanced, polynomial time decidable relation.

A witness function for $L$ is any function $f$ such that:

- if $x \in L$, then $f(x)=y$ for some $y$ such that $R(x, y)$;
- $f(x)=$ "no" otherwise.

The class FNP is a collection of witness functions for languages in NP.

## FNP and FP

A function which, for any given Boolean expression $\phi$, gives a satisfying truth assignment if $\phi$ is satisfiable, and returns "no" otherwise, is a witness function for SAT.

If any witness function for SAT is computable in polynomial time, then $P=N P$.

If $P=N P$, then for every language in NP, some witness function is computable in polynomial time, by a binary search algorithm.

Under a suitable definition of reduction, the witness functions for SAT are FNP-complete.

## Factorisation

The factorisation function maps a number $n$ to its prime factorisation:

$$
2^{k_{1}} 3^{k_{2}} \cdots p_{m}^{k_{m}}
$$

This function is in FNP.
The corresponding decision problem (for which it is a witness function) is trivial - it is the set of all numbers.

Still, it is not known whether this function can be computed in polynomial time.

## Cryptography



Alice wishes to communicate with Bob without Eve eavesdropping.

## Private Key

In a private key system, there are two secret keys
$e$ - the encryption key
$d$ - the decryption key
and two functions $D$ and $E$ such that:
for any $x$,

$$
D(E(x, e), d)=x
$$

For instance, taking $d=e$ and both $D$ and $E$ as exclusive or, we have the one time pad:

$$
(x \oplus e) \oplus e=x
$$

## One Time Pad

The one time pad is provably secure, in that the only way Eve can decode a message is by knowing the key.

If the original message $x$ and the encrypted message $y$ are known, then so is the key:

$$
e=x \oplus y
$$

## Public Key

In public key cryptography, the encryption key $e$ is public, and the decryption key $d$ is private.

We still have,

$$
\text { for any } x \text {, }
$$

$$
D(E(x, e), d)=x
$$

If $E$ is polynomial time computable (and it must be if communication is not to be painfully slow), then the function that takes $y=E(x, e)$ to $x$ (without knowing $d$ ), must be in FNP.

Thus, public key cryptography is not provably secure in the way that the one time pad is. It relies on the existence of functions in FNP - FP.

## One Way Functions

A function $f$ is called a one way function if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $f$ is one-to-one.
2. for each $x,|x|^{1 / k} \leq|f(x)| \leq|x|^{k}$ for some $k$.
3. $f \in \mathrm{FP}$.
4. $f^{-1} \notin \mathrm{FP}$.

We cannot hope to prove the existence of one-way functions without at the same time proving $P \neq N P$.

It is strongly believed that the RSA function:

$$
f(x, e, p, q)=\left(x^{e} \bmod p q, p q, e\right)
$$

is a one-way function.

## UP

Though one cannot hope to prove that the RSA function is one-way without separating $P$ and NP, we might hope to make it as secure as a proof of NP-completeness.

## Definition

A nondeterministic machine is unambiguous if, for any input $x$, there is at most one accepting computation of the machine.

UP is the class of languages accepted by unambiguous machines in polynomial time.

## UP

Equivalently, UP is the class of languages of the form

$$
\{x \mid \exists y R(x, y)\}
$$

Where $R$ is polynomial time computable, polynomially balanced, and for each $x$, there is at most one $y$ such that $R(x, y)$.

## UP One-way Functions

We have

$$
P \subseteq U P \subseteq N P
$$

It seems unlikely that there are any NP-complete problems in UP.

One-way functions exist if, and only if, $\mathrm{P} \neq \mathrm{UP}$.

## One-Way Functions Imply $P \neq U P$

Suppose $f$ is a one-way function.

Define the language $L_{f}$ by

$$
L_{f}=\{(x, y) \mid \exists z(z \leq x \text { and } f(z)=y)\}
$$

We can show that $L_{f}$ is in UP but not in P .

## P $\neq$ UP Implies One-Way Functions Exist

Suppose that $L$ is a language that is in UP but not in P . Let $U$ be an unambiguous machine that accepts $L$.

Define the function $f_{U}$ by
if $x$ is a string that encodes an accepting computation of $U$, then $f_{U}(x)=1 y$ where $y$ is the input string accepted by this computation.
$f_{U}(x)=0 x$ otherwise.
We can prove that $f_{U}$ is a one-way function.

