

# Algorithmic Game Theory

Arno Pauly

Computer Laboratory  
University of Cambridge

# Outline

1. Bimatrix Games & Nash equilibria
2. The Lemke-Howson-Algorithm
3. Complexity class: PPAD
4. Network Games
5. Complexity class: PLS

# Informal Introduction : Finite Games

- ▶ Several Actors (=players), each with his own goals
- ▶ Every player has some finite set of potential actions
- ▶ The final outcome depends on the actions chosen by all players
- ▶ Every player may evaluate outcomes differently

## Informal Introduction: Equilibria

- ▶ An equilibrium is a choice of actions, so that no player can improve the final outcome (from her point of view) by unilaterally deviating.
- ▶ Such equilibria do not exist in general.
- ▶ Solution: Introduce randomization.
- ▶ Each player picks a probability distribution over her actions.
- ▶ and tries to maximize the *expected* value of the outcome
- ▶ Now (Nash) equilibria always exist.

# Formal definitions

## Definition

An  $n \times m$  two-player game in normal form is given by two  $n \times m$  matrices  $A, B$ .

## Definition

The set of stochastic vectors of size  $n$  is defined via:

$$\mathcal{S}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall i \leq n \ x_i \geq 0 \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1\}$$

## Definition

A Nash equilibrium of  $(A, B)$  is a pair  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}^n \times \mathcal{S}^m$  satisfying:

1.  $x^T A y \geq z^T A y$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{S}^n$
2.  $x^T B y \geq x^T B z$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{S}^m$

## Lemke-Howson-Algorithm: The Setting

- ▶ Assumption:  $A$  and  $B$  are non-degenerate integer (or rational) matrices.
- ▶ Consider the polytopes  $P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \geq 0 \wedge B^T x \leq 1\}$  and  $Q := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid Ay \leq 1 \wedge y \geq 0\}$  (defined by  $n + m$  inequalities each)
- ▶  $x \in P$  has label  $k \leq n + m$ , if the  $k$ th inequality is strict. Same for  $y \in Q$ .
- ▶ Vertices of the polytopes are rational.

## Lemke-Howson-Algorithm: The Goal

Let  $x$  be a vertex of  $P$  and  $y$  be a vertex of  $Q$ , so that each label  $k \leq n + m$  appears at  $x$  or  $y$ . Then either  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ , or a Nash equilibrium can be obtained as  $x' := (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^{-1} x$  and  $y' := (\sum_{j=1}^m y_j)^{-1} y$ .

## Lemke-Howson-Algorithm: What we do

1. Start at  $(0, 0)$ , pick some  $k \leq n + m$  and move along the adjacent edge in  $P$  without the label  $k$ .
2. At the next vertex, some new label  $l$  appears. Move along the edge in  $Q$  without  $l$ .
3. Some new label appears. If it is  $k$ , we have found a completely labelled vertex  $\neq (0, 0)$ .
4. Otherwise, move along the edge in  $P$  without the new label..
5. Repeat until termination.



## Lemke-Howson-Algorithm: Abstract View

We search for sinks or (non-trivial) sources in an implicitly defined exponentially large directed graph consisting of paths and circles.

# PPAD: The generic problem

## Definition

The problem Source-or-Sink takes as its input 2 poly-sized circuits computing functions  $P, S : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $\forall w \in \{0, 1\}^n$  either  $S(w) = w$  or  $P(S(w)) = w$ , and either  $P(w) = w$  or  $S(P(w)) = w$ , as well as  $P(0^n) = 0^n$ . The solution is some  $w \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\}$  with  $P(w) = w$  or  $S(w) = w$ .

# PPAD: The complexity class

## Definition

Let PPAD denote the class of all search problems polynomial-time reducible to Source-or-Sink.

## Proposition

$$FP \subseteq PPAD \subseteq FNP$$

## Proposition

*Relative to a generic oracle, all the inclusion above are proper.*

# PPAD-completeness

The following problems are PPAD-complete:

1. Find a Nash equilibrium (in a 2-player normal form game).
2. Find a (weak) approximation of a Nash equilibrium (in an  $n$ -player normal form game).
3. Find a (weak) approximation of a Nash equilibrium in a graphical game.
4. Find a Brouwer Fixed Point (of a suitably represented function).
5. Find a Sperner-colouring in 3 dimensions.

## Network Congestion Games: Definition

- ▶ A network congestion game is played by  $N$  players on a directed graph.
- ▶ For each edge  $e$ , there is a monotone function  $d_e : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ For each player  $p$ , there are vertices  $s_p$  and  $t_p$  (so that there is a path from  $s_p$  to  $t_p$ ).
- ▶ Each player picks some path from his source vertex  $s_p$  to his target vertex  $t_p$ .
- ▶ If edge  $e$  is used by  $k$  players, then each player using  $k$  suffers a delay of  $d_e(k)$ .
- ▶ Each player tries to minimize the total delay on her path.

# Network Congestion Games: Solutions

- ▶ We search for a path-assignment where no player has incentive to deviate.
- ▶ If all players have the same source and target vertex, we can use minimal cuts to find a solution in polynomial time.
- ▶ Otherwise, we can do local improvements by searching for an alternative path for a single player, so that the sum of delays incurred by all players decreases.
- ▶ Iteration converges to a solution, but might take exponentially many steps.

## PLS: Abstract View

PLS: Search for a sink in an implicitly defined exponentially large directed acyclic graph.

# PLS: Generic Problem

## Definition

The problem Circuit-Flip takes as input a poly-sized circuit computing a function  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and produces a  $w \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , so that for all  $v \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w, v| \leq 1$  we have  $F(v) \leq F(w)$  lexicographically.

## Definition

Let *PLS* denote the class of all search problems polynomial-time reducible to Circuit-Flip.



# PLS: Complexity class

## Proposition

$FP \subseteq PLS \subseteq FNP$ .

## Proposition

*Relative to a generic oracle, all the inclusion above are proper.*

## Proposition

*Solving network congestion games is PLS-complete.*

If you want more...



N. Nisan, T. Roughgarden, E. Tardos and V. Vazirani

*Algorithmic Game Theory.*

Cambridge University Press, 2007.