

A locally continuous functor $F: \text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_\perp \rightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$

is given by

- | | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> domains $D, E \mapsto$ domain $F(D, E)$ strict cts functions
 $f \in D' \rightarrow D$
 $g \in E \rightarrow E'$ | \mapsto | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> strict cts function
 $F(f, g) \in F(D, E) \rightarrow F(D', E')$ |
|---|-----------|---|

satisfying

- $F(\text{id}, \text{id}) = \text{id}$

- $$F(D, E) \xrightarrow{F(f, g)} F(D', E') \xrightarrow{F(f', g')} F(D'', E'')$$

$$\xrightarrow{F(f \circ f', g' \circ g)}$$

} functoriality

A locally continuous functor $F: \text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_\perp \rightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$

is given by

- | | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> domains $D, E \mapsto$ domain $F(D, E)$ strict cts functions
 $f \in D' \rightarrow D$
 $g \in E \rightarrow E'$ | \mapsto | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> strict cts function
 $F(f, g) \in F(D, E) \rightarrow F(D', E')$ |
|---|-----------|---|

satisfying

monotonicity

- $f \sqsubseteq f' \ \& \ g \sqsubseteq g' \ \supset \ F(f, g) \sqsubseteq F(f', g')$

- $F(\bigcup_n f_n, \bigcup_m g_m) = \bigcup_k F(f_k, g_k)$

continuity

Minimal invariants

An invariant for locally cts functor $F: \text{Dom}_1^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_1 \rightarrow \text{Dom}_1$ is given by

domain D + isomorphism $i: F(D, D) \cong D$

(D, i) is a minimal invariant if

least fixed point of $(D \multimap D) \rightarrow (D \multimap D)$
 $e \mapsto i \circ F(e, e) \circ i^{-1}$
is the identity id_D .

Minimal invariants

An invariant for locally cts functor $F: \text{Dom}_1^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_1 \rightarrow \text{Dom}_1$ is given by

domain D + isomorphism $i: F(D, D) \cong D$

(D, i) is a minimal invariant if
 $\text{id}_D = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \pi_n$ in $D \multimap D$, where

$$\begin{cases} \pi_0 \triangleq \perp_{D \multimap D} = \lambda d \in D. \perp_D \\ \pi_{n+1} \triangleq i \circ F(\pi_n, \pi_n) \circ i^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem

Every locally continuous $F: \text{Dom}_1^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_1 \rightarrow \text{Dom}_1$ possesses a minimal invariant $i: F(D, D) \cong D$

Existence

and it is unique up to isomorphism:

if $i': F(D', D') \cong D'$ is another, then there is an isomorphism $\delta: D \cong D'$ such that

Uniqueness

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(D, D) & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\
 F(\delta^{-1}, \delta) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \delta \\
 F(D', D') & \xrightarrow{i'} & D'
 \end{array} \text{ commutes.}$$

Uniqueness

Given two min.invariants $\begin{cases} i: F(D, D) \cong D \\ i': F(D', D') \cong D' \end{cases}$

consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D) \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D)
 \end{array}$$

where $\begin{cases} s(\delta', \delta) \triangleq (\delta \circ \delta', \delta' \circ \delta) \\ \Phi(\delta', \delta) \triangleq (i \circ F(\delta, \delta') \circ i'^{-1}, i' \circ F(\delta', \delta) \circ i^{-1}) \\ \Psi(e', e) \triangleq (i' \circ F(e', e') \circ i'^{-1}, i \circ F(e, e) \circ i^{-1}) \end{cases}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D) \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D)
 \end{array}$$

Since $\Psi \circ s = s \circ \Phi$ & s is strict, by Plotkin's Uniformity Principle $(\delta', \delta) \triangleq \text{fix}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$(\delta \circ \delta', \delta' \circ \delta) = s(\delta', \delta) = s(\text{fix} \Phi) = \text{fix}(\Psi).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D) \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 (D' \multimap D) \times (D \multimap D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \multimap D') \times (D \multimap D)
 \end{array}$$

Since $\Psi \circ s = s \circ \Phi$ & s is strict, by Plotkin's Uniformity Principle $(\delta', \delta) \triangleq \text{fix}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$(\delta \circ \delta', \delta' \circ \delta) = s(\delta', \delta) = s(\text{fix} \Phi) = \text{fix}(\Psi).$$

But $\text{fix}(\Psi) = (\text{fix}(\lambda e'. i' \circ F(e', e') \circ i'^{-1}), \text{fix}(\lambda e. i \circ F(e, e) \circ i^{-1}))$

Exercise: prove $\text{fix}(f' \times f) = (\text{fix}(f'), \text{fix}(f))$
for any $f' \in D \rightarrow D$ & $f \in D \rightarrow D$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D' \rightarrow D) \times (D \rightarrow D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \rightarrow D') \times (D \rightarrow D) \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 (D' \rightarrow D) \times (D \rightarrow D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \rightarrow D') \times (D \rightarrow D)
 \end{array}$$

Since $\Psi \circ s = s \circ \Phi$ & s is strict, by Plotkin's Uniformity Principle $(\delta', \delta) \triangleq \text{fix}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$(\delta \circ \delta', \delta' \circ \delta) = s(\delta', \delta) = s(\text{fix} \Phi) = \text{fix}(\Psi).$$

But $\text{fix}(\Psi) = (\text{fix}(\lambda e'. i' \circ F(e', e') \circ i'^{-1}), \text{fix}(\lambda e. i \circ F(e, e) \circ i^{-1}))$
 $= (\text{id}_{D'}, \text{id}_D)$ by min. inv. property of $D' \& D$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (D' \rightarrow D) \times (D \rightarrow D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \rightarrow D') \times (D \rightarrow D) \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 (D' \rightarrow D) \times (D \rightarrow D') & \xrightarrow{s} & (D' \rightarrow D') \times (D \rightarrow D)
 \end{array}$$

Since $\Psi \circ s = s \circ \Phi$ & s is strict, by Plotkin's Uniformity Principle $(\delta', \delta) \triangleq \text{fix}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$(\delta \circ \delta', \delta' \circ \delta) = s(\delta', \delta) = s(\text{fix} \Phi) = \text{fix}(\Psi).$$

But $\text{fix}(\Psi) = (\text{fix}(\lambda e'. i' \circ F(e', e') \circ i'^{-1}), \text{fix}(\lambda e. i \circ F(e, e) \circ i^{-1}))$
 $= (\text{id}_{D'}, \text{id}_D)$ by min. inv. property of $D' \& D$

So $\delta \circ \delta' = \text{id}_{D'}$ & $\delta' \circ \delta = \text{id}_D$
 i.e. $\delta : D \rightarrow D'$ is an iso (with inverse δ').

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta^{-1}, \delta) &= (\delta', \delta) && \text{from above} \\ &= \text{fix}(\Phi) && \text{by definition of } \delta' \& \delta \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(\text{fix}(\Phi)) && \text{fixed point!}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta^{-1}, \delta) &= (\delta', \delta) && \text{(from above)} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{fix}(\Phi) && \text{(by definition of } \delta' \& \delta) \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(\text{fix}(\Phi)) && \text{fixed point!} \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(\delta^{-1}, \delta) && \text{by (1)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta^{-1}, \delta) &= (\delta', \delta) && \text{(from above)} \\
&\stackrel{(\ast)}{=} \text{fix}(\Phi) && \text{(by definition of } \delta' \text{ \& } \delta) \\
&= \Phi(\text{fix}(\Phi)) && \text{fixed point!} \\
&= \Phi(\delta^{-1}, \delta) && \text{by (1)} \\
&= (\dots, i' \circ F(\delta^{-1}, \delta) \circ i^{-1}) && \text{by def}^n \text{ of } \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

so $\delta = i' \circ F(\delta^{-1}, \delta) \circ i^{-1}$, hence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(D, D) & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\
F(\delta^{-1}, \delta) \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \delta \\
F(D', D') & \xrightarrow{i'} & D'
\end{array}$$

as required for uniqueness. \square

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \subseteq d_n \right\}$$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \sqsubseteq d_n \right\}$$

countable product of domains F_n defined by

$$\begin{cases} F_0 = \{\perp\} \\ F_{n+1} = F(F_n, F_n) \end{cases}$$

Elements of $\prod_{n < \omega} F_n$ are tuples $d = (d_n \mid n < \omega)$ of elements $d_n \in F_n$.

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \sqsubseteq d_n \right\}$$

strict continuous functions $\varphi_{m,n} \in F_m \rightarrow F_n$

$$\text{defined by: } \begin{cases} \varphi_{0,n} \triangleq \perp \\ \varphi_{m,0} \triangleq \perp \\ \varphi_{m+1,n+1} \triangleq F(\varphi_{n,m}, \varphi_{m,n}) \end{cases}$$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \subseteq d_n \right\}$$

D is a domain because it is a subset of $\prod_{n < \omega} F_n$

which $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is closed under lubs of chains} \\ \text{contains the least element.} \end{array} \right.$ ← exercise

- $\perp_D = (\perp_{F_n} \mid n < \omega)$
- $d \subseteq d'$ in D iff $d_n \subseteq d'_n$ in F_n for all $n < \omega$
- $\bigcup_{k < \omega} d_k$ in D is $(\bigcup_{k < \omega} (d_k)_n \mid n < \omega)$

Lemmas about $\varphi_{m,n} \in F_m \rightarrow F_n$

- $\varphi_{m,m} = \text{id}_{F_m}$
- $\varphi_{k,n} \circ \varphi_{m,k} \subseteq \varphi_{m,n}$
- $\varphi_{k,n} \circ \varphi_{m,k} = \varphi_{m,n}$ if $k > \min\{m, n\}$

(Exercise: prove these by induction over \mathbb{N} .)

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \in d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

$e_m \in D$ because of

$$\forall k, m, n. \varphi_{k,n} \circ \varphi_{m,k} \in \varphi_{m,n}$$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \in d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

These satisfy:

(EP1) $p_n \circ e_m = \varphi_{m,n}$

(EP2) $p_n \circ e_n = \text{id}_{F_n}$

(EP3) $\bigsqcup_{n < \omega} e_n \circ p_n = \text{id}_D$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \in d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

These satisfy:

(EP1) $p_n \circ e_m = \varphi_{m,n}$

follows directly from defⁿ of p_n & e_n

(EP2) $p_n \circ e_n = id_{F_n}$

(EP3) $\bigsqcup_{n < \omega} e_n \circ p_n = id_D$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \in d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

These satisfy:

(EP1) $p_n \circ e_m = \varphi_{m,n}$

(EP2) $p_n \circ e_n = id_{F_n}$

since

$$\varphi_{n,n} = id_{F_n}$$

(EP3) $\bigsqcup_{n < \omega} e_n \circ p_n = id_D$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \subseteq d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

These satisfy:

(EP1) $p_n \circ e_m = \varphi_{m,n}$

(EP2) $p_n \circ e_n = \text{id}_{F_n}$

(EP3) $\bigsqcup_{n < \omega} e_n \circ p_n = \text{id}_D$

use defⁿ. of D plus

$$\forall k > \min\{m, n\}. \\ \varphi_{k,n} \circ \varphi_{m,k} = \varphi_{m,n}$$

to see that $e_0 p_0 \subseteq e_1 p_1 \subseteq \dots$
& that lub is id_D

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \subseteq d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$

Then $\begin{cases} F(D, D) \xrightarrow{F(e_n, p_n)} F(F_n, F_n) = F_{n+1} \xrightarrow{e_{n+1}} D \\ D \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} F_{n+1} = F(F_n, F_n) \xrightarrow{F(p_n, e_n)} F(D, D) \end{cases}$

satisfy $\begin{cases} \forall n. e_{n+1} \circ F(e_n, p_n) \subseteq e_{n+2} \circ F(e_{n+1}, p_{n+1}) \\ \forall n. F(p_n, e_n) \circ p_{n+1} \subseteq F(p_{n+1}, e_{n+1}) \circ p_{n+2} \end{cases}$

Existence: construction of min. inv. for F

$$D \triangleq \left\{ d \in \prod_{n < \omega} F_n \mid \forall m, n < \omega. \varphi_{m,n}(d_m) \in d_n \right\}$$

Define $\begin{cases} p_n \in D \rightarrow F_n \\ e_m \in F_m \rightarrow D \end{cases}$ by $\begin{cases} p_n(d) \triangleq d_n \\ e_m(x) \triangleq (\varphi_{m,n}(x) \mid n < \omega) \end{cases}$
and then

$$\begin{cases} i \triangleq \bigcup_{n < \omega} e_{n+1} \circ F(e_n, p_n) \in F(D, D) \rightarrow D \\ i' \triangleq \bigcup_{n < \omega} F(p_n, e_n) \circ p_{n+1} \in D \rightarrow F(D, D) \end{cases}$$

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

Proof: $F(e_n, p_n) F(p_m, e_m) = F(p_m e_n, p_n e_m)$

$$= F(\varphi_{n,m}, \varphi_{m,n})$$

by def.ⁿ of
 p & e

$$= \varphi_{m+1, n+1}$$

by def.ⁿ of
 $\varphi_{-, -}$

$$= p_{n+1} e_{m+1}$$

by def.ⁿ of
 p & e



Some Lemmas

$$(*) \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} i \circ F(p_n, e_n) &\triangleq \left(\bigcup_k e_{k+1} \circ F(e_k, p_k) \right) \circ F(p_n, e_n) \\ &= \bigcup_k e_{k+1} F(e_k, p_k) F(p_n, e_n) \\ &= \bigcup_k e_{k+1} p_{k+1} e_{n+1} \quad \text{by } (*) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_k e_{k+1} p_{k+1} \right) \circ e_{n+1} \\ &= e_{n+1} \quad \text{by (EP3)} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

proved
similarly
to this

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$(*) \quad i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = id_D$$

Proof: $ii' \triangleq i(\bigcup_m F(p_m, e_m) p_{m+1})$

$$= \bigcup_m e_{m+1} p_{m+1} \quad \text{by } (*)$$

$$= id \quad \text{by (EP3)}$$

□

Some Lemmas

$$(*) \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = id_D \quad \& \quad i' \circ i = id_{F(D, D)}$$

Proof: $i'i \triangleq (\bigcup_m F(p_m, e_m) p_{m+1})(\bigcup_n e_{n+1} F(e_n, p_n))$

$$= \bigcup_k F(p_k, e_k) p_{k+1} e_{k+1} F(e_k, p_k)$$

$$= \bigcup_k F(p_k, e_k) F(e_k, p_k) F(p_k, e_k) F(e_k, p_k) \quad \text{by } (*)$$

$$= \bigcup_k F(e_k p_k, e_k p_k) F(e_k p_k, e_k p_k)$$

$$= F(id, id) F(id, id) \quad \text{by (EP3)}$$

$$= id$$

□

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = \text{id}_D \quad \& \quad i' \circ i = \text{id}_{F(D,D)}$$

So $i: F(D,D) \rightarrow D$ is an iso with $i^{-1} = i'$ and we just need to prove the min. inv. property

$\text{id}_D = \bigcup_n \pi_n$ where

$$\begin{cases} \pi_0 \triangleq \perp \\ \pi_{n+1} \triangleq i \circ F(\pi_n, \pi_n) \circ i' \end{cases}$$

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = \text{id}_D \quad \& \quad i' \circ i = \text{id}_{F(D,D)}$$

$$e_n \circ p_n = \pi_n$$

Proof: Since $F_0 = \{\perp\}$,
 $e_0 = \perp$ & $p_0 = \perp$, so $e_0 \circ p_0 = \perp = \pi_0$.

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$(*) \quad i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = \text{id}_D \quad \& \quad i' \circ i = \text{id}_{F(D, D)}$$

$$e_n \circ p_n = \pi_n$$

Proof: Since $F_0 = \{\perp\}$,
 $e_0 = \perp$ & $p_0 = \perp$, so $e_0 p_0 = \perp = \pi_0$.

And if $e_n p_n = \pi_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} p_{n+1} &= i F(p_n, e_n) F(e_n, p_n) i' \quad \text{by } (*) \\ &= i F(e_n p_n, e_n p_n) i' \\ &= i F(\pi_n, \pi_n) i' \quad \text{by ind. hyp.} \\ &= \pi_{n+1} \quad \text{since } i' = i^{-1} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Some Lemmas

$$F(e_n, p_n) \circ F(p_m, e_m) = p_{n+1} \circ e_{m+1}$$

$$i \circ F(p_n, e_n) = e_{n+1} \quad \& \quad F(e_n, p_n) \circ i' = p_{n+1}$$

$$i \circ i' = \text{id}_D \quad \& \quad i' \circ i = \text{id}_{F(D, D)}$$

$$e_n \circ p_n = \pi_n$$

and hence

$$\bigcup_n \pi_n = \bigcup_n e_n p_n = \text{id}_D \quad \text{by (EP3).}$$

So (D, i) is a min-inv. for F .

□