

# ***Lecture 6***

**Denotational Semantics of PCF**

## Denotational semantics of PCF

---

To every typing judgement

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$$

we associate a continuous function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

between domains.

## Denotational semantics of PCF types

---

$$\llbracket nat \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{N}_{\perp} \quad (\text{flat domain})$$

$$\llbracket bool \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{B}_{\perp} \quad (\text{flat domain})$$

$$\llbracket \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \quad (\text{function domain}).$$

where  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{B} = \{true, false\}$ .

## Denotational semantics of PCF type environments

---

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)} \llbracket \Gamma(x) \rrbracket \quad (\Gamma\text{-environments})$$

= the domain of partial functions  $\rho$  from variables to domains such that  $\text{dom}(\rho) = \text{dom}(\Gamma)$  and  $\rho(x) \in \llbracket \Gamma(x) \rrbracket$  for all  $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$

### Example:

1. For the empty type environment  $\emptyset$ ,

$$\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \{ \perp \}$$

where  $\perp$  denotes the unique partial function with

$$\text{dom}(\perp) = \emptyset.$$

$$2. \llbracket \langle x \mapsto \tau \rangle \rrbracket = (\{x\} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket) \cong \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \langle x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n \rangle \rrbracket & \\ \cong (\{x_1\} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket) \times \dots \times (\{x_n\} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket) & \\ \cong \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket & \end{aligned}$$

## Denotational semantics of PCF terms, I

---

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{0} \rrbracket(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \in \llbracket \mathit{nat} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} \rrbracket(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{true} \in \llbracket \mathit{bool} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} \rrbracket(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{false} \in \llbracket \mathit{bool} \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \rrbracket(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho(x) \in \llbracket \Gamma(x) \rrbracket \quad (x \in \mathit{dom}(\Gamma))$$

## Denotational semantics of PCF terms, II

---

$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{succ}(M) \rrbracket (\rho)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) + 1 & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) = \perp \end{cases}$$

$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{pred}(M) \rrbracket (\rho)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) - 1 & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) > 0 \\ \perp & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) = 0, \perp \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{zero}(M) \rrbracket (\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \mathit{true} & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) = 0 \\ \mathit{false} & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) > 0 \\ \perp & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho) = \perp \end{cases}$$

## Denotational semantics of PCF terms, III

---

$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{if } M_1 \text{ then } M_2 \text{ else } M_3 \rrbracket (\rho)$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_2 \rrbracket (\rho) & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket (\rho) = \text{true} \\ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_3 \rrbracket (\rho) & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket (\rho) = \text{false} \\ \perp & \text{if } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket (\rho) = \perp \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 \rrbracket (\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket (\rho)) (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_2 \rrbracket (\rho))$$

## Denotational semantics of PCF terms, IV

---

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . M \rrbracket (\rho) \\ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket . \llbracket \Gamma [x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M \rrbracket (\rho [x \mapsto d]) \end{aligned} \quad (x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma))$$

---

**NB:**  $\rho [x \mapsto d] \in \llbracket \Gamma [x \mapsto \tau] \rrbracket$  is the function mapping  $x$  to  $d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  and otherwise acting like  $\rho$ .

## Denotational semantics of PCF terms, V

---

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fix}(M) \rrbracket(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{fix}(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket(\rho))$$

Recall that *fix* is the function assigning least fixed points to continuous functions.

## Denotational semantics of PCF

---

**Proposition.** *For all typing judgements  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , the denotation*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

*is a well-defined continuous function.*

## Denotations of closed terms

---

For a closed term  $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$ , we get

$$\llbracket \emptyset \vdash M \rrbracket : \llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

and, since  $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \{ \perp \}$ , we have

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \llbracket \emptyset \vdash M \rrbracket (\perp) \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \quad (M \in \text{PCF}_\tau)$$

## Compositionality

---

**Proposition.** For all typing judgements  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  and

$\Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$ , and all contexts  $\mathcal{C}[-]$  such that  $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M] : \tau'$   
and  $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M'] : \tau'$ ,

if  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

then  $\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma' \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$

## Soundness

---

**Proposition.** For all closed terms  $M, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$ ,

if  $M \Downarrow_\tau V$  then  $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  .

## Substitution property

---

**Proposition.** Suppose that  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  and that

$\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$ , so that we also have  $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket]) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ .

---

In particular when  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ ,  $\llbracket \langle x \mapsto \tau \rangle \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$  and

$$\llbracket M'[M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket \langle x \mapsto \tau \rangle \vdash M' \rrbracket (\llbracket M \rrbracket)$$