

#### 5

### Analysis

**Example:** Reachability This algorithm requires  $O(n^2)$  time and O(n) space. The Reachability decision problem is, given a *directed* graph G = (V, E) and two nodes  $a, b \in V$ , to determine whether there is a path from a to b in G. The description of the algorithm would have to be refined for an implementation on a Turing machine, but it is easy enough to show that: A simple search algorithm as follows solves it: Reachability  $\in P$ 1. mark node a, leaving other nodes unmarked, and initialise set S to  $\{a\}$ ; 2. while S is not empty, choose node i in S: remove i from S and To formally define **Reachability** as a language, we would have to also for all j such that there is an edge (i, j) and j is unmarked, choose a way of representing the input (V, E, a, b) as a string. mark j and add j to S; 3. if b is marked, accept else reject. Anuj Dawar April 28, 2010 Anuj Dawar April 28, 2010 7 Complexity Theory Complexity Theory 8 **Example: Euclid's Algorithm Analysis** Consider the decision problem (or *language*) RelPrime defined by: The number of repetitions at step 2 of the algorithm is at most  $O(\log x).$  $\{(x, y) \mid \gcd(x, y) = 1\}$ why? This implies that RelPrime is in P. The standard algorithm for solving it is due to Euclid: 1. Input (x, y). If the algorithm took  $\theta(x)$  steps to terminate, it would not be a 2. Repeat until y = 0:  $x \leftarrow x \mod y$ ; Swap x and y polynomial time algorithm, as x is not polynomial in the *length* of the input. 3. If x = 1 then accept else reject.

#### 9

## **Boolean Expressions**

Boolean expressions are built up from an infinite set of variables

 $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ 

and the two constants true and false by the rules:

- a constant or variable by itself is an expression;
- if  $\phi$  is a Boolean expression, then so is  $(\neg \phi)$ ;
- if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both Boolean expressions, then so are  $(\phi \land \psi)$ and  $(\phi \lor \psi)$ .

```
Anuj Dawar
```

April 28, 2010

12

10

#### Complexity Theory

### **Boolean Evaluation**

There is a deterministic Turing machine, which given a Boolean expression *without variables* of length n will determine, in time  $O(n^2)$  whether the expression evaluates to true.

The algorithm works by scanning the input, rewriting formulas according to the following rules:



Consider the decision problem (or *language*) Prime defined by:

 $\{x \mid x \text{ is prime}\}$ 

The obvious algorithm:

For all y with  $1 < y \le \sqrt{x}$  check whether y|x.

requires  $\Omega(\sqrt{x})$  steps and is therefore *not* polynomial in the length of the input.

Is  $\mathsf{Prime} \in \mathsf{P}$ ?

# Anuj Dawar

Complexity Theory

## **Evaluation**

If an expression contains no variables, then it can be evaluated to either true or false.

Otherwise, it can be evaluated, given a truth assignment to its variables.

### Examples:

 $\begin{aligned} (\texttt{true} \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg \texttt{false}) \\ (x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land ((\neg x_1) \lor x_2) \\ (x_1 \lor \texttt{false}) \land (\neg x_1) \\ (x_1 \lor (\neg x_1)) \land \texttt{true} \end{aligned}$ 

April 28, 2010

11

Complexity Theory	13	Complexity Theory	14
Rules		Analysis	
• $(\operatorname{true} \lor \phi) \Rightarrow \operatorname{true}$ • $(\phi \lor \operatorname{true}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{true}$ • $(\operatorname{false} \lor \phi) \Rightarrow \phi$ • $(\operatorname{false} \land \phi) \Rightarrow \operatorname{false}$ • $(\phi \land \operatorname{false}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{false}$ • $(\operatorname{true} \land \phi) \Rightarrow \phi$ • $(\neg \operatorname{true}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{false}$ • $(\neg \operatorname{false}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{true}$		Each scan of the input $(O(n)$ steps) must find at least one subexpression matching one of the rule patterns. Applying a rule always eliminates at least one symbol from the formula. Thus, there are at most $O(n)$ scans required. The algorithm works in $O(n^2)$ steps.	
Anuj Dawar	April 28, 2010	Anuj Dawar April 28,	2010
Complexity Theory	15	Complexity Theory	16
Satisfiability		Circuits	
<ul> <li>For Boolean expressions \$\phi\$ that contain variables. Is there an assignment of truth values to the which would make the formula evaluate to the The set of Boolean expressions for which this is SAT of satisfiable expressions.</li> <li>This can be decided by a deterministic Turing in O(n<sup>2</sup>2<sup>n</sup>).</li> <li>An expression of length n can contain at most n For each of the 2<sup>n</sup> possible truth assignments to check whether it results in a Boolean expression true.</li> <li>Is SAT ∈ P?</li> </ul>	s, we can ask variables rue? true is the language nachine in time variables. these variables, we that evaluates to	A circuit is a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ , with $V = \{1,, n\}$ together with a labeling: $l: V \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}, \land, \lor, \neg\}$ , satisfying: • If there is an edge $(i, j)$ , then $i < j$ ; • Every node in V has <i>indegree</i> at most 2. • A node v has indegree 0 iff $l(v) \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ ; indegree 1 iff $l(v) = \neg$ ; indegree 2 iff $l(v) \in \{\lor, \land\}$ The value of the expression is given by the value at node $n$ .	

Anuj Dawar

expression.

value of the result node n.

false to each node.

**CVP** 

CVP - the *circuit value problem* is, given a circuit, determine the

CVP is solvable in polynomial time, by the algorithm which

examines the nodes in increasing order, assigning a value true or

A circuit is a more compact way of representing a Boolean

Identical subexpressions need not be repeated.

17

## **Composites**

Consider the decision problem (or *language*) Composite defined by:

 $\{x \mid x \text{ is not prime}\}$ 

This is the complement of the language Prime.

Is Composite  $\in \mathsf{P}$ ?

Anuj Dawar

Clearly, the answer is yes if, and only if,  $\mathsf{Prime} \in \mathsf{P}$ .

Anuj Dawar

April 28, 2010

April 28, 2010

18