1

# Complexity Theory Lecture 12

Anuj Dawar

University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory Easter Term 2010

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/0910/Complexity/

Anuj Dawar

May 19, 2010

3

#### Complexity Theory

# **Provable Intractability**

Our aim now is to show that there are languages (*or, equivalently, decision problems*) that we can prove are not in P.

This is done by showing that, for every *reasonable* function f, there is a language that is not in  $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$ .

The proof is based on the diagonal method, as in the proof of the undecidability of the halting problem.

#### Complexity Theory

# **Complexity Classes**

We have established the following inclusions among complexity classes:

 $\mathsf{L}\subseteq\mathsf{N}\mathsf{L}\subseteq\mathsf{P}\subseteq\mathsf{P}\subseteq\mathsf{N}\mathsf{P}\subseteq\mathsf{P}\mathsf{S}\mathsf{P}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{C}\mathsf{E}\subseteq\mathsf{E}\mathsf{X}\mathsf{P}$ 

Showing that a problem is NP-complete or PSPACE-complete, we often say that we have proved it intractable.

While this is not strictly correct, a proof of completeness for these classes does tell us that the problem is structurally difficult.

Similarly, we say that PSPACE-complete problems are harder than NP-complete ones, even if the running time is not higher.

```
Anuj Dawar
```

May 19, 2010

4

#### Complexity Theory

# **Constructible Functions**

A complexity class such as  $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$  can be very unnatural, if f(n) is.

We restrict our bounding functions f(n) to be proper functions:

#### Definition

A function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is *constructible* if:

- f is non-decreasing, i.e.  $f(n+1) \ge f(n)$  for all n; and
- there is a deterministic machine M which, on any input of length n, replaces the input with the string  $0^{f(n)}$ , and M runs in time O(n + f(n)) and uses O(f(n)) work space.

2

5

### Examples

All of the following functions are constructible:

•  $\lceil \log n \rceil;$ 

- $n^2$ ;
- *n*;
- 2<sup>n</sup>.

Anuj Dawar

Complexity Theory

If f and g are constructible functions, then so are f + g,  $f \cdot g$ ,  $2^{f}$  and f(g) (this last, provided that f(n) > n).

Inclusions

The inclusions are established by showing that a deterministic

For this, we have to be able to compute f within the required

machine can simulate a nondeterministic machine M for f(n) steps.

The inclusions we proved between complexity classes:

• NTIME $(f(n)) \subset$  SPACE(f(n)):

• NSPACE $(f(n)) \subseteq$  SPACE $(f(n)^2)$ 

• NSPACE $(f(n)) \subset \mathsf{TIME}(k^{\log n + f(n)});$ 

really only work for *constructible* functions f.

#### Complexity Theory

# **Using Constructible Functions**

 $\mathsf{NTIME}(f(n))$  can be defined as the class of those languages L accepted by a *nondeterministic* Turing machine M, such that for every  $x \in L$ , there is an accepting computation of M on x of length at most O(f(n)).

If f is a constructible function then any language in  $\mathsf{NTIME}(f(n))$  is accepted by a machine for which all computations are of length at most O(f(n)).

Also, given a Turing machine M and a constructible function f, we can define a machine that simulates M for f(n) steps.

Anuj Dawar

#### Complexity Theory

# **Time Hierarchy Theorem**

For any constructible function f, with  $f(n) \ge n$ , define the f-bounded halting language to be:

 $H_f = \{ [M], x \mid M \text{ accepts } x \text{ in } f(|x|) \text{ steps} \}$ 

where [M] is a description of M in some fixed encoding scheme.

Then, we can show

 $H_f \in \mathsf{TIME}(f(n)^3)$  and  $H_f \notin \mathsf{TIME}(f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor))$ 

#### Time Hierarchy Theorem

For any constructible function  $f(n) \ge n$ ,  $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n))$  is properly contained in  $\mathsf{TIME}(f(2n+1)^3)$ .

bounds.

May 19, 2010

May 19, 2010

7

May 19, 2010

8

#### 9

# **Strong Hierarchy Theorems**

For any constructible function  $f(n) \ge n$ , TIME(f(n)) is properly contained in  $\mathsf{TIME}(f(n)(\log f(n)))$ .

# **Space Hierarchy Theorem**

For any pair of constructible functions f and g, with f = O(g) and  $q \neq O(f)$ , there is a language in SPACE(q(n)) that is not in  $\mathsf{SPACE}(f(n)).$ 

Similar results can be established for nondeterministic time and space classes.

Complexity Theory

# **Consequences**

- For each k,  $\mathsf{TIME}(n^k) \neq \mathsf{TIME}(n^{k+1})$ .
- $P \neq EXP$ .
- $L \neq PSPACE$ .
- Any language that is **EXP**-complete is not in **P**.
- There are no problems in P that are complete under linear time reductions.

nuj l	Dawar

May 19, 2010

