Complexity Theory Lecture 12

Timothy G. Griffin

Computer Laboratory University of Cambridge, UK

Complexity, Easter 2009

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Can you ...

- show sorting is Ω(n log n)?
- define the class P?
- define the class NP?
- show 3SAT is NP-complete? (at least at a high level)
- show that TAUTOLOGY is in Co-NP?
- define a one-way function?
- understand every relationship in

 $L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE = NPSPACE \subseteq EXP?$

Can you do these reductions?

- SAT ≤_P IND
- IND \leq_P CLIQUE
- $3SAT \leq_P 3$ -Colourability
- 3SAT ≤_P HAM
- HAM ≤_P TSP
- 3SAT ≤_P 3DM
- $3DM \leq_P XSC$ (Exact Set Cover)
- XSC \leq_P SC (Set Cover)
- XSC \leq_P KNAPSACK

(Undirected) Hamiltonian Path problem (HAM-PATH) HAM-PATH

Given a graph G = (V, E), does it contain a path that visits every node exactly once?

HAM-PATH is NP-complete.

Proof (Papadimitriou, pages 193 to 198): The problem is in NP since we can guess a path and check it in polynomial time. To show that it is NP-complete we do a reduction from 3SAT. First, we need a gadget to represent each variable x:



We construct a chain of these gadgets, one for each variable.

The exclusive or (XOR) gadget







T. Griffin (cl.cam.ac.uk)

Complexity Theory Lecture 12

■ ● ■ のへの CT 2009 5/12

Representing clauses



For each clause of three literals $(l_1 \lor l_2 \lor l_3)$, we construct a (virtual) triangle where each "edge" is associated with a literal, and connected with an XOR gadget to the virtual link that of the literal's variable that would make the literal true.

Example



$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3)$$

The initial end-points are connected to a new node with label 1, the terminal end-points are connected to a dotted node (All dotted nodes will be connected in one large clique.) Finally, we add one arc with a dotted node and a node labeled 3. Thus, any Hamiltonian path must link nodes 1 and 3.

Eulerian Path Problem is in P

Eulerian Path Problem

Given a graph G = (V, E), does it contain a path that visits every edge exactly once?

- Pick any vertex to start.
- From the current vertex, pick any edge, but never cross a *bridge* in the *reduced graph* (the graph with marked edges deleted), unless there is no other choice. A bridge is an edge whose deletion would increase the number of connected components.
- Mark the edge (so will not use it again).
- Traverse the edge, picking the node at the other end.
- Repeat steps 2 through 4, until back at the starting point (or failure).

Exercise

Prove that this algorithm is correct.

Directed Hamiltonian Path problem (DHAM-PATH)

HAM-PATH

Given a directed graph G = (V, E), does it contain a path that visits every node exactly once?

DHAM-PATH is NP-complete.

The problem is in NP since we can guess a path and check it in polynomial time.

To show that it is NP-complete we do a reduction from 3SAT.

We construct a graph that looks like this ...



10/12

Each variable diamond has a chain ...



T. Griffin (cl.cam.ac.uk)

Complexity Theory Lecture 12

э CT 2009 11/12

< 3

... chains are connected to clause nodes



◆ ■ ▶ ■ つへの CT 2009 12/12