

Lecture 10

Reduction with δ -rules

- Assume as primitive constants (atoms):
 - integers
 - unary operators
 - binary operators
- atom packages these into a single datatype
- unary operators and binary operators have:
 - a name
 - a semantics – ML function coding a δ rule

```
datatype atom = Num of int
              | Op1 of string * (int->int)
              | Op2 of string * (int*int->int);
```

conapply

- Application of atomic operation to a value defined by ConApply
 - computes δ -reduction
- Application of a binary operator b to m
 - results in a unary operator named mb
 - expecting the other argument
- So for each binary operator b and number m there will be a unary operator named mb
 - allows all δ -rules to be binary:
$$b\ m \xrightarrow{\delta} bm$$
 - need to compute name of bm by concatenating name of b with name of m

Converting numbers to strings

- Need to convert number `m` to a string
 - for concatenation with the name of operator

```
fun StringOfNum 0 = "0"
  | StringOfNum 1 = "1"
  | StringOfNum 2 = "2"
  | StringOfNum 3 = "3"
  | StringOfNum 4 = "4"
  | StringOfNum 5 = "5"
  | StringOfNum 6 = "6"
  | StringOfNum 7 = "7"
  | StringOfNum 8 = "8"
  | StringOfNum 9 = "9"
  | StringOfNum n =
    (StringOfNum(n div 10)) ^ (StringOfNum(n mod 10));

StringOfNum 1574;
> val it = "1574" : string
```

Definition of conapply

```
fun ConApply(Op1(_,f1), Num m) = Num(f1 m)
  | ConApply(Op2(x,f2), Num m) =
    Op1((StringOfNum m^x), fn n => f2(m,n));
> val ConApply = fn : atom * atom -> atom

ConApply(Op2("+",op +), Num 2);
> val it = Op1 ("2+",fn) : atom

ConApply(it, Num 3);
> val it = Num 5 : atom
```

λ -calculus with constants (atoms)

- Redefine lam

```
datatype lam = Var of string
             | Con of atom
             | App of (lam * lam)
             | Abs of (string * lam);
```

- Normal order evaluation with δ -rules

```
fun EvalN (e as Var _) = e
  | EvalN (e as Con _) = e
  | EvalN (Abs(x,e)) = Abs(x, EvalN e)
  | EvalN (App(e1,e2)) =
    case EvalN e1
    of (Abs(x,e3))
       => EvalN(Subst e3 e2 x)
     | (e1' as Con a1)
       => (case EvalN e2
            of (Con a2) => Con(ConApply(a1,a2))
              | e2'    => App(e1',e2'))
     | e1'
       => App(e1', EvalN e2);
> val EvalN = fn : lam -> lam
```

- Consider App(Num 1, Num2) ...

Call-by-value with δ -rules

```
fun EvalV (e as Var _)      = e
  | EvalV (e as Con _)      = e
  | EvalV (e as Abs(_, _)) = e
  | EvalV (App(e1, e2))     =
    let val e2' = EvalV e2
    in
      (case EvalV e1
        of (Abs(x, e3))
           => EvalV(Subst e3 e2' x)
         | (e1' as Con a)
           => (case e2'
                of (Con a2) => Con(ConApply(a1, a2))
                 | _       => App(e1', e2'))
         | e1'
           => App(e1', e2'))
    end;
```

Representing the recursive functions

- *Recursive functions* are an important class of numerical functions
- Shortly after Church invented the λ -calculus, Kleene proved that every recursive function could be represented in it
- This provided evidence for *Church's thesis*
 - the hypothesis that any intuitively computable function could be represented in the λ -calculus
 - has been shown that many other models of computation define the same class of functions that can be defined in the λ -calculus.
 - e.g. Turing machines

Representing a numerical function

- Number n is represented by the λ -expression \underline{n}
- λ -expression \underline{f} represents function f iff
 - for all numbers x_1, \dots, x_n :

$$\underline{f}(\underline{x_1}, \dots, \underline{x_n}) = \underline{y} \quad \text{if} \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$$

- A function is *primitive recursive* if it can be constructed by a finite sequence of applications of the operations of substitution and primitive recursion starting from 0, S and the projection functions U_n^i (all defined below)

Base functions and Substitution

- **Successor function S :**
 - $S(x) = x + 1$
- **Projection functions U_n^i (n and i are numbers):**
 - $U_n^i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i$
- **Suppose:**
 - g is a function of r arguments
 - h_1, \dots, h_r are r functions each of n arguments
- **We say f is defined from g and h_1, \dots, h_r by substitution if:**

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_r(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

Primitive recursion

- **Suppose:**
 - g is a function of $n-1$ arguments
 - h is a function of $n+1$ arguments
- **Then f is defined from g and h by primitive recursion if:**

$$f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g(x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
$$f(S(x_1), x_2, \dots, x_n) = h(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- g is called the *base function*
 - h is called the *step function*
- **Primitive Recursion Theorem:**
 - Can proved that for any base and step function there always exists a unique function defined from them by primitive recursion
- **Addition function sum is primitive recursive:**

$$sum(0, x_2) = x_2$$
$$sum(S(x_1), x_2) = S(sum(x_1, x_2))$$

PR functions in λ -calculus

- Obvious that:

- $\underline{0}$ represents 0
- suc represents S
- $\lambda p. p \downarrow^n i$ represents U_n^i

- Suppose

- function g of r variables is represented by \mathbf{g}
- functions h_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) of n variables represented by \mathbf{h}_i

- Then if a function f of n variables is defined by substitution by:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_r(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

then f is represented by \mathbf{f} where:

$$\mathbf{f} = \lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n). \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{h}_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \mathbf{h}_r(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

Representing Primitive Recursion

- Suppose f of n variables is defined inductively
 - from a base function g of $n-1$ variables
and an inductive step function h of $n+1$ variables
 - then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= g(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
 f(S(x_1), x_2, \dots, x_n) &= h(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus if g represents g and h represents h then f will represent f if

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = & \\
 & (\text{iszero } x_1 \\
 & \quad \rightarrow g(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
 & \quad | \ h(f(\text{pre } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \text{pre } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

- A solution to this equation is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(\lambda f. \lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \\
 & (\text{iszero } x_1 \\
 & \quad \rightarrow g(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\
 & \quad | \ h(f(\text{pre } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \text{pre } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)))
 \end{aligned}$$

- Primitive recursive functions are representable

The recursive functions

- A function is called *recursive*
 - if it can be constructed from 0, the successor function and the projection functions
 - by a sequence of substitutions, primitive recursions
 - and *minimizations*
- Suppose g is a function of n arguments
 - f is defined from g by minimization if:
$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \text{'the smallest } y \text{ such that } g(y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1\text{'}$$
- $\text{MIN}(f)$ denotes the minimization of f

Undefinedness

- Functions defined by minimization may be undefined for some arguments
- For example, if *one* is the function that always returns 1
 - i.e. $one(x) = 1$ for every x
- $MIN(one)$ is only defined for arguments with value 1
- Obvious because if $f(x) = MIN(one)(x)$, then:
 $f(x) =$ ‘the smallest y such that $one(y)=x$ ’
and clearly this is only defined if $x = 1$
- Thus

$$MIN(one)(x) = \begin{cases} \underline{0} & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Representing minimisation

- Suppose g represents a function g of n variables and f is defined by $f = \text{MIN}(g)$

- If a λ -expression min can be devised such that

$$\text{min } \underline{x} \text{ f } (\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n)$$

represents least y greater than x such that

$$f(y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1$$

then g will represent g where:

$$g = \lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \text{min } \underline{0} \text{ f } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- min will have the desired property if:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min } x \text{ f } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \\ (\text{eq } (f(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \ x_1) \\ \rightarrow x \mid \text{min } (\text{suc } x) \text{ f } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

($\text{eq } \underline{m} \ \underline{n} = \text{true}$ if $m=n$, $\text{eq } \underline{m} \ \underline{n} = \text{false}$ if $m \neq n$)

- Thus min can simply be defined to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Y}(\lambda m. \\ \lambda x \text{ f } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \\ (\text{eq } (f(x, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \ x_1 \\ \rightarrow x \mid m (\text{suc } x) \text{ f } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))) \end{aligned}$$

Higher-order primitive recursion

- Ackermann's function, ψ , is recursive but not primitive recursive

$$\psi(0, n) = n+1$$

$$\psi(m+1, 0) = \psi(m, 1)$$

$$\psi(m+1, n+1) = \psi(m, \psi(m+1, n))$$

- If one allows functions as arguments, then many more recursive functions can be defined by a primitive recursion
- Define rec by primitive recursion as follows:

$$rec(0, x_2, x_3) = x_2$$

$$rec(S(x_1), x_2, x_3) = x_3(rec(x_1, x_2, x_3))$$

- Then ψ can be defined by:

$$\psi(m, n) = rec(m, S, f \mapsto (x \mapsto rec(x, f(1), f))) (n)$$

- where $x \mapsto \theta(x)$ maps x to $\theta(x)$
- the third argument of rec , x_3 , is a function
- in the definition of ψ , x_2 is a function, viz. S

Power of higher-order recursion

- A function which takes another function as an argument, or returns another function as a result, is called *higher-order*
- The example ψ shows that higher-order primitive recursion is more powerful than ordinary primitive recursion
- Operators like *rec* make functional programming very powerful

The partial recursive functions

- A partial function is one that is not defined for all arguments
 - the function $\text{MIN}(\text{one})$ described above is partial
 - the division function is also partial, since division by 0 is not defined
- Functions that are defined for all arguments are called *total*
- A partial function is *partial recursive* if it can be constructed from 0, the successor function and the projection functions by a sequence of substitutions, primitive recursions and minimizations
 - thus the recursive functions are just the partial recursive functions which happen to be total
- Can be shown that every partial recursive function f can be represented by a λ -expression \underline{f} in the sense that
 - (i) $\underline{f}(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n) = \underline{y}$ if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$
 - (ii) If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is undefined then $\underline{f}(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n)$ has no normal form.